

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/573,913	ISHIYAMA, RUI	
	Examiner GANDHI THIRUGNANAM	Art Unit 2624	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Allowed

(1) GANDHI THIRUGNANAM. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Robert Gingher (45755). (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 02 July 2010

**Time:** 4:45pm

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 101

Claims discussed:

Claim 23

Prior art documents discussed:

None

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The Examiner and Applicant's representative discussed amending claim 23 to include the phrase "non-transitory" to overcome a 35 USC 101 issue. Applicant's representative agreed to allow the Examiner to make such amendment.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Wenpeng Chen/  
 Primary Examiner, AU 2624  
 /Gandhi Thirugnanam/  
 Examiner, Art Unit 2624

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)